



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,592	01/02/2001	Amy Galland	AG001	1628

7590
Amy Galland
79 Hidden Ridge Dr.
Syosset, NY 11791

02/07/2006

EXAMINER

WEISBERGER, RICHARD C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3624

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/752,592

Applicant(s)

GALLAND, AMY

Examiner

Richard C Weisberger

Art Unit

3624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09/2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
2. Claims 1-4, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kentera , Inc., www.kintera.com of 2000 as found on www.web.archive.org¹

The prior art teaches the method of directly funding projects comprising:
soliciting proposals desiring funding; posting said proposal on a server;
connecting said server to the internet and receiving an inquiry from at least one client device concerning said proposal, wherein said inquiry comprises a pledge. (See the two page document which describes the services of Kintera a marketing/infrastructure company which offers internet fundraising solutions to charitable and educational organizations.) The examiner takes official notice that nonprofits direct their efforts toward science and art projects.

3. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kentera , Inc., www.kintera.com of 2000 as found on www.web.archive.org.


The prior art teaches the method of directly funding projects comprising:

Art Unit: 3624

soliciting proposals desiring funding; posting said proposal on a server;
connecting said server to the internet and receiving an inquiry from at least one client device concerning said proposal, wherein said inquiry comprises a direct transfer of money. (See the two page document which describes the services of Kintera a marketing/infrastructure company which offers internet fundraising solutions to charitable and educational organizations.) The examiner takes official notice that nonprofits direct their efforts toward science and art projects. The method therefore inherently teaches the at least one client device (the donors computer), at least one server (the means for posting the Kintera product on the interen); and a means for distributing to the nonprofit author.

Respectfully

By,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Richard Weisberger', written over a horizontal line.

Richard Weisberger

AU 3624

571 272 6753

¹ While the examiner disagrees with the applicant with respect to the teaching of the prior art of

